

# **Good practices in scientific computing**

**GIGA** Doctorate School

Christophe Phillips, Ir Ph.D.



# The scientific process

Ideas  $\rightarrow$  Hypotheses  $\rightarrow$  Experiments  $\rightarrow$  Data  $\rightarrow$  Analysis  $\rightarrow$  Comprehension  $\rightarrow$  Dissemination

## What could go wrong?

- flawed instrumentation
- poor experimental design
- inconsistent with hypothesis

- misinterpretation
- extrapolation & HARKing
- post-diction & "story telling"

• ill-formulated

• bad idea

- no hypothesis
- (X-ploratory)

- software bugs
- inappropriate use of methods
- incl. P-hacking or "data dredging"

- publish anyway
- many details missing
- behind pay walls



## Introduction

# Science relies on (digital) data and their analysis. $\rightarrow$ use & write scientific software!

Do we know

- what we want?  $\rightarrow$  Mostly yes.
- how to calculate it?  $\rightarrow$  We are working on it.
- ▶ how to build the "tool"? → Usually done "as it flows"...

 $\rightarrow$  Software development best practices!



## Goals

Improve

- productivity of scientific programming and
- reliability of the resulting code
- $\rightarrow$  speed up result production
- $\rightarrow$  *boost* confidence in results
- $\rightarrow$  ensure results reproducibility

 $\rightarrow$  *increase* your scientific impact



#### Programmers vs. Users





# Scientific computing best practices

- 1. Write programs for people, not computers
- 2. Let the computer do the work
- 3. Make incremental changes
- 4. Don't repeat yourself or others
- 5. Plan for mistakes
- 6. Optimize software only after it works correctly
- 7. Document design and purpose, not mechanics
- 8. Collaborate

G. Wilson et al., "Best Practices for Scientific Computing", PLOS Biology, 12:e1001745, 2014



# Scientific computing best practices

1.	Write programs for people, not computers	А
2.	Let the computer do the work	В
3.	Make incremental changes	С
4.	Don't repeat yourself or others	В
5.	Plan for mistakes	С
6.	Optimize software only after it works correctly	D
7.	Document design and purpose, not mechanics	А
8.	Collaborate	С

G. Wilson et al., "Best Practices for Scientific Computing", PLOS Biology, 12:e1001745, 2014



## Some wisdom

"A computer is like a mischievous genie. It will give you exactly what you ask for, but not always what you want." - Joe Sondow



#### Code & Document

- 1. Write programs for people
- 7. Document design and purpose, not mechanics

"Any code of your own that you haven't looked at for six or more months might as well have been written by someone else."

- Eagleson's law

Real number more likely 3 weeks...



## Code & Document

- 1. Write programs for people
- 7. Document design and purpose, not mechanics
- Make names consistent, distinctive, and meaningful.
- Make code style, input/output and formatting consistent
- Break programs up into "simple modules"
- Document interfaces and reasons, not implementations (ideally 40% of file content!).



#### Code & Document, more wisdom...

"Commenting your code is like cleaning your bathroom – you never want to do it, but it really does create a more pleasant experience for you and your guests." - Ryan Campbell



- 2. Let the computer do the work
- 4. Don't repeat yourself or others
- never change data manually!
- do not type commands more than once
- script code for a "re-do this" call
- turn scripts into functions, with options/flags/parameters
- modularize code rather than copy-pasting bits.



- 2. Let the computer do the work
- 4. Don't repeat yourself or others

"DRY – Don't Repeat Yourself

Every piece of knowledge must have a single, unambiguous, authoritative representation within a system."

- Andy Hunt & Dave Thomas



- 2. Let the computer do the work
- 4. Don't repeat yourself or others
- never change data manually!
- do not type commands more than once
- script code for a "re-do this" call
- turn scripts into functions, with options/flags/parameters
- modularize code rather than copy-pasting bits.
- re-use code instead of rewriting it.



Marijn van Vliet @wmvanvliet

Replying to @OHBMequinoX

1. We joke about how terrible academic code often is. But as science becomes more dependant on code, it starts to scare me. Here are 7 tips for reducing the chances of having to retract your paper due to bugs in your analysis scripts. #OHBMx

000

Marijn's tips for data analysis pipelines

- 1. one step == one script
- 2. each script operates on a single subject
- 3. one master script to run the entire analysis
- 4. the intermediate result is saved after each step
- 5. the intermediate result is visualized after each step
- 6. every parameter and filename is defined once
- 7. no superfluous files



- 2. Let the computer do the work
- 4. Don't repeat yourself or others

"[Code reuse] saves a fair amount of coding, but much more important is consistency." - Kernighan and Plauger



- 3. Make incremental changes
- 5. Plan for mistakes
- 8. Collaborate

"Every program has 2 purposes: The one for which it was written and another for which it wasn't."

- Alan J. Perlis



- 3. Make incremental changes
- 5. Plan for mistakes
- 8. Collaborate
- use a version control system.
- put everything that has been created manually in version control.

 $\rightarrow$  keep track of changes: what, when, who & why!

Remember last week?



- 3. Make incremental changes
- 5. Plan for mistakes
- 8. Collaborate

#### *"If it hasn't been tested, it doesn't work."* - Eric Mason



- 3. Make incremental changes
- 5. Plan for mistakes
- 8. Collaborate
- automated testing of the code, in part or whole (unit, integration, regression tests)
- like manuscript writing, have colleagues review the code and/or write the code together



Errors come in (at least) 2 forms:

- ► "code crash" → obvious & can be caught
- "wrong results"  $\rightarrow$  difficult to spot!

"Debugging time increases as a square of the program's size." - Chris Wenham

"Debugging is like being the detective in a crime movie where you are also the murderer." - Filipe Fortes



# Code & Optimization

- 6. Optimize software only *after* it works correctly.
- Use a profiler to identify bottlenecks.
- Write code in the highest-level language possible.

"Make it correct, make it clear, make it concise, make it fast. In that order." – Wes Dyer



# Scientific computing best practices

- 1. Write programs for people, not computers
- 2. Let the computer do the work
- 3. Make incremental changes
- 4. Don't repeat yourself or others
- 5. Plan for mistakes
- 6. Optimize software only after it works correctly
- 7. Document design and purpose, not mechanics
- 8. Collaborate

G. Wilson et al., "Best Practices for Scientific Computing", PLOS Biology, 12:e1001745, 2014



## What about the data?

# "I will sort and clean this data (code) right before we submit. Or for sure once this is published."

#### = "I only clean my teeth right before my dentist appointment."



## "Haste makes waste"

Things we want to spend time on: **answering our scientific question.** 

Things we don't want to spend time on:

- figure out how a dataset should be organized
- organize the dataset
- rewrite code because the data structure changed
- digging into our data to write our methods section

#### ... and so, we rush !

"I have a grant proposal / grant report / PhD to finish!"



# **Issues & solutions**

- "My data organization is as good as yours"
- Only the main author(s) know(s) where is what, what is useful (or not), etc. until...
- Not all the information gathered in one place
- No error checking neither "memory"
- Need specific batch/code to process the data
- Not easy to re-use data or share with others



# **Issues & solutions**

- "My data organization is as good as yours"
- Only the main author(s) know(s) where is what, what is useful (or not), etc. until...
- Not all the information gathered in one place
- Be complete
- Be consistent
- → Be careful

Data description is critical!



# Ask yourself...

Bus factor of a project:

*"If you were hit by a bus, can one of your lab-mates resume your research where you left off with less than a week delay?"* 

Technical debt:

"You're taking a time-loan that you will have to pay back later. And you are not going to like the interest rate!"



#### Safety in 3 steps

- "Backup" your computer
- Archive your data (or ensure they are...)
- Version your code
- $\rightarrow$  Be able to reproduce your results from scratch !



#### Safety in 3 steps

- "Backup" your computer  $\rightarrow$  Dox / One Drive
- ► Archive your data (or ensure they are...) → Mass-storage
- ▶ Version your code  $\rightarrow$  GitLab
- $\rightarrow$  Be able to reproduce your results from scratch !



#### Reproducibility & similar notions

		DATA		
		Same	Different	
CODE	Same	Reproducible	Replicable	
	Different	Robust	Generalisable	



#### Open science

- Use open tools and format
- When you publish your results, do not be afraid to
  - share your data
  - share your code
  - share your methodology
  - share your paper
- Helping and being helped





#### Goals

Improve

- productivity of scientific programming and
- reliability of the resulting code
- $\rightarrow$  speed up result production
- $\rightarrow$  boost confidence in results
- $\rightarrow$  ensure results reproducibility

 $\rightarrow$  increase your scientific impact



#### Conclusion

- ► Looks scary... but just recommendations → adopt them incrementally
- ▶ Do not be afraid, try and follow these tips
  → for EVERY bit of code written, data set used,

and analysis done.

- Invest some time NOW
  - $\rightarrow$  gain in the long term!



#### References

- G. Wilson et al., "Best Practices for Scientific Computing", PLOS Biology, 12:e1001745, 2014 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001745
- https://embassy.science/wiki/Theme:6b584d4e-2c9d-4e27-b370-5fbdb983ab46
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Data\_dredging
- https://embassy.science/wiki/Theme:26631aa0-18f0-4635-b71b-80a6f4e58d33
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/HARKing
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scrum\_%28software\_development%29
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Agile\_software\_development
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Version\_control
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open\_science
- https://uclouvain.be/fr/universite-numerique/rdm





#### "Code is like humor. When you have to explain it, it's bad." – Cory House

"The first 90% of the code accounts for the first 90% of the development time. The remaining 10% of the code accounts for the other 90% of the development time."

- Tom Cargill



#### Thank you for your attention!

